

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courtesies extended and the helpful assistance provided during the Interview of March 28, 2003. A summary of the substance discussed during the interview are incorporated into the remarks set forth below.

Summary of the Office Action

The title of the invention stands objected to as allegedly not being descriptive.

The abstract of the disclosure stands objected to because of alleged errors in reference numbers therein.

The drawings stand objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(p)(5) because they include reference signs allegedly not mentioned in the description.

The drawings stand objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters have allegedly been used to designate more than one item.

The drawings stand objected to because Figure 10 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--.

The claims stand objected to because of the claim numbering.

Claims 1-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite.

Claim 9 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly containing subject matter not described in the specification.

Claims 1-2 and 5-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Jack et al.* (USPN 5,808,350).

Claims 3 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over *Jack et al.* (USPN 5,808,350) in view of *Go* (USPN 4,912,545).

Summary of the Response to the Office Action

Claims 1-10 are presently pending. A Request for Approval of Drawing Change and Submission of Formal Drawings are being file concurrently herewith.

Priority Documents

In the Amendment filed July 31, 2002, Applicant requested that receipt of the certified copy be acknowledged by checking the corresponding boxes of the next communication. In the Office Action Summary (PTO-326) mailed October 24, 2002, boxes were not checked indicating whether "all," "some," or "none" of the certified copies were received. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that receipt of the certified copy be acknowledged in the next communication by checking the corresponding boxes.

The Objections to the Specification

The title of the invention stands objected to as allegedly not being descriptive. Applicant has amended the title of the invention in accordance with the comments of the Examiner.

The abstract of the disclosure stands objected to because of alleged errors in reference numbers therein. Applicant has amended the abstract of the disclosure in accordance with the comments of the Examiner.

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully requests that the objections to the specification be withdrawn.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 046124-5099

Application No.: 09/974,817

Page 12

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

IN THE TITLE:

Please amend the title of the invention as follows:

SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE FOR DETECTING WIDE WAVELENGTH RANGES



Attachment A

